

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**

Appeal No. 5457 of 2022

Deepanjan Guhamajumder	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated October 01, 2022 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated October 17, 2022, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/22/00627) dated October 18, 2022. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** –The appellant, vide his application dated October 01, 2022, *inter alia*, sought information regarding action taken by SEBI till date with respect to his complaints filed on August 19, 2021; August 20, 2021; August 23, 2021; December 21, 2021 and December 29, 2021 against Archidply Industries Ltd. (company).
3. The respondent, in response to the aforesaid query, informed that the complaint filed by the appellant was examined and further referred to the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and also to the Registrar of Companies, Uttarakhand. Further, the complaint was also forwarded to the company advising to place the allegations before the Audit Committee and Board of Directors for necessary examination and sharing the finding of the examination with the Stock Exchange.
4. **Ground of appeal-** The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that access to the requested information was refused. The appellant, in his appeal, *inter alia*, made certain allegations against the company and requested to inform what actions have been taken by SEBI.
5. I have perused the query and the response provided thereto. On consideration, I find that respondent has clearly provided the information available with him regarding action taken by SEBI with respect

to the appellant's complaints. In my opinion the said query has been adequately addressed and no further intervention is warranted at this stage.

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: November 11, 2022

ANAND BAIWAR

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**