

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6518 of 2025

Yukti Sharma : Appellant
Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated July 25, 2025 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated August 12, 2025, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/25/00211) dated August 12, 2025. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in her application dated July 25, 2025, sought the following information:

“ 1 want information regarding my 300 shares and 100 debentures of jindal vijaynagar steel which i purchased in the year 1995.1 want to known about my share and debenture status at persent with detail information of it after its merger with jsw in 2005 and its stock split in 2017.. From last 30 years i was not in touch regarding my share and i have not even recived any copy or notice of share after the merger and stock split. From last 2 months I am mailing and had even visited and submitted the application for my query and new certificate at the registrar office of jsw i.e kenfintech hydrabad but not response is given by them.

Please provide me the detailed information of my share and debenture at present with the detailed information of ratio of merger and stock split.I am attaching share and debenture certificate. The shares and debentures are in the name of my mother.”

3. **Reply of the Respondent** –The respondent, in response to the application, informed that the information sought is not maintained by SEBI in normal course of regulation of securities market. Hence, the same is not available with SEBI.
4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant in her appeal has merely reiterated the queries in her application.
5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. I note that the respondent has categorically stated that the requested information is not maintained by SEBI in normal course of regulation of securities market and hence, is not available with SEBI. I note that the respondent can only provide information that is available in the records. In this context, I note that the Hon'ble Central Information Commission in the matter of *Lakshminarayanan R vs. SEBI* (order dated February 09, 2023) observed that “*Keeping in view the facts of the case, the Commission observed that the information sought by the appellant regarding Central Depository Services Ltd. was not maintained by the respondent authority in the normal course of regulation of securities market. It is an established law that the information sought for in order to be disclosable under the RTI Act, 2005 must be existent and available in the records..... In the instant case, the desired information was not available in the records of the respondent authority...*”. Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of respondent.
6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: September 09, 2025

RUCHI CHOJER
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA