

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 4679 of 2022

G Kannan	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated February 13, 2022 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated February 23, 2022, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated February 23, 2022, against the said response dated February 23, 2022. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** –The appellant, vide his application dated February 13, 2022, sought the following information:
 1. *The original Documents of Land parcels of Sahara Prime City Limited situated at Coimbatore, Salem, Vellore, Pondicherry, Madurai, Tirunelveli are with SEBI or handover to Sahara Prime City Limited.*
 2. *What is the payment made by Sameera Estate Pvt Limited towards the Sale agreement of the Land parcel of Sahara situated at Vellore Tamil Nadu till now and the dates.*
3. The respondent, in response to the aforesaid queries, informed that the Sahara Prime City Limited does not fall under the regulatory purview of SEBI. Further, the appellant was advised to approach the Housing & Urban Planning Department, Uttar Pradesh regarding the queries.
4. **Ground of appeal-** The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that the information provided was incomplete, misleading or false. The appellant, in his appeal, reiterated the queries raised in his application.
5. I have perused the query and the response provided thereto. I note that the respondent has categorically stated that the Sahara Prime City Limited does not come under the purview of SEBI. I do not find any

reason to disbelieve the said statement. Further, I note that the appellant has not made any specific submission regarding the response provided by the respondent. In view of the same, I am of the opinion that the queries have been adequately addressed and no further intervention is warranted at this stage.

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: March 21, 2022

ANAND BAIWAR
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA