

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6337 of 2025

MD Junaid Ansari : Appellant
Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”), which was transferred to the respondent from Department of Investment and Public Asset Management on February 19, 2025. The respondent, by a letter dated March 10, 2025, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/25/00051) dated March 12, 2025. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in his application, sought the following information:

“ I HAVE INVESTED MONEY IN WELFARE BUILDINGS AND ESTATES PVT LTD AND COMPLETED MATURITY PERIOD. BUT WELFARE IS NOT RETURNING MONEY”
3. **Reply of the Respondent** - The respondent, in response to queries in the application, informed that no information was sought by the appellant.
4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was provided incomplete, misleading or false information. The appellant, in his appeal, has sought help in getting back money invested in Welfare Buildings and Estates Pvt. Ltd.
5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. On consideration, I concur with the response of the respondent that no information was sought by the appellant. Further, I note that appellant’s application and appeal is in the nature of seeking redressal of grievance. I note that redressal of grievance

does not come under the purview of the RTI Act. In this context, I note that the Hon'ble CIC, in the matter of *Mr. Surendra Vishwakarma vs. President Secretariat* (Order dated April 29, 2016) observed that "*In this context, we note that the RTI Act cannot be used to make the respondent to do certain things or take certain action for the reason that the Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances/ disputes and there are other appropriate forum(s) for resolving such matters.*"

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: March 28, 2025

RUCHI CHOJER

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**