

**BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA
(ADJUDICATION ORDER NO: Order/SKV/GD/2023-24/26251)**

UNDER SECTION 15-I OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA ACT, 1992 READ WITH RULE 5 OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA (PROCEDURE FOR HOLDING INQUIRY AND IMPOSING PENALTIES) RULES, 1995.

In respect of

Late Lalmani Devi Bhawsinka

(PAN: AEOPB0443E)

In the matter of dealings in Illiquid Stock Options at BSE

BACKGROUND OF THE CASE

1. Securities and Exchange Board of India (hereinafter referred to as '**SEBI**') had conducted an investigation into large scale reversal of trades in Stock Options segment of Bombay Stock Exchange (hereinafter referred to as '**BSE**') during the period of April 01, 2014 to September 30, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as '**investigation period**'). Pursuant to investigation, it was observed that during the investigation period, a total of 2,91,744 trades comprising 81.41% of all the trades executed in the Stock Options Segment were trades which involved reversal of buy and sell positions by the clients and counterparties in a contract. The reversal trades involved squaring off trades, but with significant difference in the sell value and buy value of the transactions. The aforesaid reversal trades allegedly resulted into generation of artificial volumes. Late Lalmani Devi Bhawsinka (hereinafter referred to as '**Noticee**') was one among the entities who indulged in reversal trades, which allegedly created false and misleading appearance of trading thereby generated artificial volumes in the Stock Options Segment of BSE during the investigation period. The Noticee was alleged to have engaged in reversal trades through 2 trades in 1 unique contract, which led to generation artificial volume of 6,56,000 units. The trades entered by the Noticee were reversed on the same day with same counterparty, at a substantial

price difference without any basis for significant changes in the contract price which indicates that these trades were artificial and non- genuine in nature.

APPOINTMENT OF ADJUDICATING OFFICER

2. In this connection, SEBI had initiated adjudication proceedings against Noticee, under SEBI (Procedure for Holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties) Rules, 1995 (hereinafter referred to as 'Adjudication Rules') for allegedly violating Regulations 3(a),(b),(c),(d) and 4(1), 4(2)(a) of SEBI (Prohibition of Fraudulent and Unfair Trading Practices relating to Securities Markets) Regulations, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as 'PFUTP Regulations'), in the matter of trading in illiquid stock options at BSE. SEBI vide order dated July 06, 2021, appointed Ms. Amy Durga Menon as Adjudicating Officer under Section 19 read with sub-section (1) of section 15-I of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (hereinafter referred to as '**SEBI Act**') and Rule 3 of the SEBI (Procedure for Holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties) Rules 1995 (hereinafter referred to as the "Adjudication Rules") to inquire into and adjudge the alleged violations by the Noticee and determine whether penalty under Section 15HA of the SEBI Act is liable to be imposed. Thereafter, vide order dated March 17, 2023, the matter was transferred to the Ms. Asha Shetty. Subsequently upon transfer of Ms. Asha Shetty vide communique dated April 17, 2023, the instant matter was transferred to the undersigned

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE, REPLY AND HEARING

3. A Show Cause Notice no. SEBI/AO/AP/P/OW/2022/1919/1 dated January 14 , 2022 (hereinafter referred to as '**SCN**') was served on the Noticee, in terms of the provisions of Rule 4 of the Adjudication Rules read with section 15-I of the SEBI Act, requiring the Noticee to show cause as to why an inquiry should not be held against her in terms of Adjudication Rules read with section 15-I of the SEBI Act, and penalty, if any, should not be imposed on Noticee under section 15HA of the SEBI Act for the aforesaid alleged contraventions done by her.

4. In reply to the SCN, vide letter dated January 18, 2022 Mr. Bimal Kumar Agarwal (hereinafter referred to as “Authorized Representative /AR”) who stated to be son of Noticee has submitted the death certificate to the erstwhile AO. The death certificate shows that the Noticee passed away on January 10, 2015. Upon verification of the aforesaid death certificate it was noted that name of the deceased is written as “*Lalmani Devi Agarwal*”. In this regard further vide a letter dated March 17, 2022 the PAN card and notarized affidavit stating that surname “Bhawasinka alias Agarwal belong to one and same person and the same pertains to the Noticee has been submitted.
5. Before proceeding further in the matter on merit, it would be in the fitness of things to first decide as to whether on the death of the Noticee, the present adjudication proceedings against her would continue or abate.
6. In this context, it is worth mentioning that in **Girijanandini Vs Bijendra Narain** (AIR 1967 SC 2110), the Hon’ble Supreme Court held that in case of personal actions, i.e., the actions where the relief sought is personal to the deceased, the right to sue will not survive to or against the representatives and in such cases the maxim *actio personalis moritur cum persona* (personal action dies with the death of the person) would apply. It is also relevant to refer to the decision of Hon’ble Securities Appellate Tribunal in **Chandravadan J. Dalal vs. SEBI** (Appeal No. 35/2004 decided on June 15, 2005) wherein it was held that: “*The appeal abates since the appellant during the pendency of the appeal died on 29th November 2004. The appeal accordingly abates. The penalty imposed on the original appellant being personal in nature also abates.*”
7. In view of the foregoing, I am of the view that the instant adjudication proceedings against the Noticee are liable to be abated without going into the merits of the case qua her and the SCN dated January 14, 2022 issued against her is disposed of accordingly.

8. In terms of the provisions of Rule 6 of the Adjudication Rules, a copy of this order is being sent to SEBI and to the last known address of deceased Noticee as well as to the AR.

Place: Mumbai

Date: May 09, 2023

SHASHI KUMAR VALSAKUMAR

ADJUDICATING OFFICER