

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6540 of 2025

Nand Lal : Appellant
Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated August 06, 2025 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated August 29, 2025, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/25/00231) dated August 29, 2025. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. The appellant, in his appeal, has only raised dispute with respect to response to query no. 1 in the application.
3. **Query no. 1 in the application** - The appellant, vide query no.1, sought the following information:

“1. What is the latest update under point number 3 of my previous PG Portal Appeal number SEBII/C/A/24/0000034? (Point no.3 mentioned by SEBI in Letter no. SEBI/WRO/BJD/14525/1/2024)”
4. **Reply of the Respondent** –The respondent, in response to query no. 1 in the application, informed that the compliance status submitted by the entity was perused by SEBI and it was observed that the entity had complied with the directions issued at para 16 (b) of the order dated January 09, 2023.
5. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was provided incomplete, misleading or false information.
6. I have perused the query and the response provided thereto. I note that the appellant, in his appeal, has challenged the correctness of the response provided by the respondent. On consideration, I find that

correctness of the information provided cannot be decided in the instant proceedings. In this context, it is pertinent to note that Hon'ble Delhi High Court in *Narendra Tyagi vs Assistant Director (CPIO)* [LPA 764/2023], dated 06.12.2023, has held as under: “13. Consequently, it is clear that dispute as regards the correctness of information provided under the RTI Act, or any other dispute or controversy, cannot be adjudicated in proceedings under the RTI Act. The CPIO is only required to supply all the information/ documents within his access. Whether or not such information as provided by the CPIO under the RTI Act is incorrect in any manner, is not the domain of consideration or determination under the RTI proceedings.” In light of the aforesaid, I find that no further interference of this forum is necessary.

7. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: September 24, 2025

RUCHI CHOJER

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**