

BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA
[ADJUDICATION ORDER NO.: Order/VV/NK/2023-24/26558]

ORDER UNDER SECTION 15-I OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA ACT, 1992, READ WITH RULE 5 OF THE SEBI (PROCEDURE FOR HOLDING INQUIRY AND IMPOSING PENALTIES) RULES, 1995.

In respect of:
Late Smt. TRISHLA DEVI JAIN
(PAN: ABLPJ0387K)

In the matter of dealings in Ill-liquid Stock Options at BSE

Facts of the Case

1. Securities and Exchange Board of India (hereinafter referred to as "SEBI") observed large scale reversal of trades in the Stock Options segment of the Bombay Stock Exchange (hereinafter referred to as "BSE") leading to the alleged creation of artificial volume in the stock options segment. In this regard, SEBI conducted an investigation into the trading activity in the illiquid Stock Options segment at the BSE for the period April 01, 2014 to September 30, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as "Investigation Period").
2. It was observed during the course of investigation that a total of 2, 91, 744 trades comprising 81.40 % of all the trades executed in the Stock Options Segment at the BSE during the investigation period were trades which involved reversal of buy and sell positions by the clients and counterparties in a contract on the same day. It was observed that Smt. TRISHLA DEVI JAIN (hereinafter referred to as "Noticee") was one such client whose reversal trades involved squaring off open positions with a significant difference without any basis for such change in the contract price. The said reversal trades allegedly resulted into generation of

artificial volumes, leading to allegations that the Noticee had violated the provisions of Regulations 3 (a), (b), (c) & (d) and Regulations 4 (1) & 4 (2) (a) of the SEBI (Prohibition of Fraudulent and Unfair Trade Practices Relating to Securities Market) Regulations, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as “**PFUTP Regulations**”)

APPOINTMENT OF ADJUDICATING OFFICER

3. SEBI initiated adjudication proceedings and Shri Mitrajeet Dey was appointed as the Adjudicating Officer (**erstwhile AO**) by SEBI vide communique dated July 06, 2021, under Section 19 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, (hereinafter referred to as “**SEBI Act**”) read with Section 15-I (1) of the SEBI Act and Rule 3 of the SEBI (Procedure for Holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties) Rules, 1995 (hereinafter referred to as “**Adjudication Rules**”) to inquire into and adjudge, the violation alleged to have been committed by the Noticee and conduct adjudication proceedings *inter-alia* in respect of the Noticee, in the manner specified under Rule 4 of the Adjudication Rules read with Section 15-I (1) and (2) of the SEBI Act, and if satisfied that penalty is liable, to impose such penalty deemed fit in terms of Rule 5 of the Adjudication Rules and in terms of Section 15HA of the SEBI Act. Subsequently, undersigned has been appointed as Adjudicating Officer (**AO**) vide communiqué dated March 17, 2023.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE, REPLY AND PERSONAL HEARING

4. Show Cause Notice dated March 31, 2022 (hereinafter referred to as “**SCN**”) was issued to the Noticee by erstwhile adjudicating officer in terms of Rule 4 of the Adjudication Rules read with Section 15-I of the SEBI Act, to show cause as to why an inquiry should not be initiated and penalty should not be imposed under Section 15HA of the SEBI Act, on the Noticee for the alleged violation of

Regulation 3 (a), (b), (c), (d) and 4 (1), 4 (2) (a) of PFUTP Regulations. Noticee was advised to file a reply to the SCN within 14 days of the receipt of the SCN. The said SCN returned undelivered. Thereafter, Supplementary SCN dated August 12, 2022 ("SSCN") was issued to Noticee on her other addresses received from depositories. The said SSCN was duly delivered to Noticee on August 25, 2022. In the supplementary SCN, the Noticee was informed about the SEBI Settlement Scheme, 2022, in terms of Regulation 26 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Settlement Proceedings) Regulations, 2018 in the matter of Illiquid Stock Options and in case, Noticee do not wish to avail of the facility under the SEBI Settlement Scheme, 2022, Noticee was advised to file a reply to the SCN within 30 days of the receipt of the supplementary SCN.

5. With regard to aforementioned supplementary SCN, it is noted from documents available on record that vide letter dated September 08, 2022 received from Mr Naman Jain, relative of late Smt. TRISHLA DEVI JAIN stating that, Noticee has passed away on November 27, 2020. In this regard, a copy of the death certificate of the Noticee duly attested by Notary Public is submitted alongwith the said letter by him.
6. I note that as per the death certificate dated December 10, 2020 issued by Health and Family Welfare Department, Government of Punjab, the Noticee had passed away on November 27, 2020.
7. Before proceeding further in the matter on merit, it would be in the fitness of things to first decide as to whether on the death of the Noticee, the present adjudication proceedings against her would continue or abate.
8. I note that in the matter of Girijandini vs. Bijendra Narain (AIR 1967 SC 2110), the Hon'ble Supreme Court, *inter-alia*, observed that in case of personal action, i.e., the actions where the relief sought is personal to the deceased, the right to sue will not survive to or against the representatives, and in such cases, the

maxim actio personalis moritur cum persona (personal action dies with the death of the person) would apply.

9. Further, the Hon'ble Securities Appellate Tribunal has also held in Chandravadan J Dalal Vs. SEBI (Appeal No. 35/2004) that *"The appeal abates since the appellant during the pendency of the appeal died on 29th November, 2004. The appeal accordingly abates"*.
10. Relying, on the aforementioned Orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble Securities Appellate Tribunal, I am of the view that the adjudication proceedings initiated against the deceased Noticee will not survive and is liable to be abated without going into the merits of the case. Consequently, no penalty is imposed on the Noticee, who is since deceased, and the Adjudication Proceedings against her stand abated.
11. Thus, In accordance with the law, the present Adjudication proceedings initiated against the Noticee viz. late Smt. TRISHLA DEVI JAIN, vide the SCN dated March 31, 2022 and SSCN dated August 12, 2022 is disposed of without going into the merits of the case.
12. In terms of Rule 6 of the SEBI Adjudication Rules, 1995, a copy of this order is being sent to Mr. Naman Jain, relative of late Smt. TRISHLA DEVI JAIN and also to the Securities and Exchange Board of India.

Date: May 18, 2023

Place: Mumbai

Vijayant Kumar Verma

Adjudicating Officer