

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**

Appeal No. 6404 of 2025

Showreddy Golamari	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated March 24, 2025 (received by SEBI on March 27, 2025) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated April 22, 2025, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated May 22, 2025 (received by the Office of Appellate Authority on May 27, 2025). I have perused the application, the response of the respondent and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Query in the application-** The appellant, in his application dated March 24, 2025, sought the status and action taken report thereof, w.r.t to his complaint dated November 20, 2024.
3. **Reply of the Respondent** - The respondent, in response to the application, informed that the information regarding status and action taken report is available on the SCORES website and the same can be accessed by logging in with his login credentials.
4. **Ground of appeal-** On perusal of the appeal, it appears that the appellant is not satisfied with the response provided by the respondent.
5. I have perused the queries and the response provided thereto. On consideration, I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the queries by providing the information available with him. Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.

6. Further, I find that the appeal of the appellant is in the nature of grievance. I note that redressal of grievance does not come under the purview of the RTI Act. In this context, I note that the Hon'ble CIC, in the matter of Mr. *Surendra Vishwakarma vs. President Secretariat* (Order dated April 29, 2016) observed that "*we note that the RTI Act cannot be used to make the respondent to do certain things or take certain action for the reason that the Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances/disputes and there are other appropriate forum(s) for resolving such matters.*" Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.
7. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: June 19, 2025

RUCHI CHOJER

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**