

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 5708 of 2023

Navdeep	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated June 05, 2023 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated June 12, 2023, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/23/00136) dated June 16, 2023. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** –The appellant, vide his application dated June 05, 2023, sought the following information:

“1. SEBI not send complete amount which is deposited in PACL, why

2. Speed post tracking number is EH351151688IN that received in SEBI office but no reply and no refund, why how much time to required return amount PACL certificate number: U22.....60 tracking number EH351151688IN”
3. The respondent, in response to the aforesaid application, informed that the information sought by the appellant, is not available with SEBI. However, the appellant was advised to contact the Justice (Retd.) R. M. Lodha Committee. The respondent also provided the link for accessing the details of the Committee, for further communication. Further, the respondent also provided the links for accessing the details with respect to Public Notices, Press Releases, Status Report and FAQs etc. related to refund in the matter of PACL refund.

4. **Ground of appeal-** On perusal of the appeal it appears that the appellant is not satisfied with the response provided by the respondent. The appellant, in his appeal, *inter alia*, requested to provide contact number or mail id for resolving problems. The appellant also stated that the link provided by the respondent is complicated and long. The appellant requested to provide a proper link to open the website.
5. I have perused the queries raised by the appellant and the response provided by the respondent. The respondent, in his response, has categorically mentioned that the requested information is not available with SEBI. I note that the responsibility of disposal of the properties and repayment to investors, is entrusted with the Justice (Retd.) R. M. Lodha Committee (under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.M. Lodha, former Chief Justice of India), which has been constituted, pursuant to the order dated February 2, 2016 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Further, I note that the Hon'ble CIC in the matter of *Sh. Pattipati Rama Murthy vs. CPIO, SEBI* (Decision dated July 8, 2013), held: "... *if it (SEBI) does not have any such information in its possession, the CPIO cannot obviously invent one for the benefit of the Appellant. There is simply no information to be given.*" In view of these observations, I do not find any deficiency in the response.
6. Notwithstanding the above, I note that the respondent has provided the links for accessing the contact details of the Committee, Status Reports, FAQs, Press Releases and Public Notices pertaining to the refund in the matter of PACL Ltd., which are already available in the public domain. The appellant may be guided accordingly.
7. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai
Date: July 04, 2023

B RAJENDRAN
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA