

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**

Appeal No. 5494 of 2022

Inderpreet Singh Luthra	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated October 19, 2022 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated November 10, 2022, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Rg. No. SEBIH/A/E/22/00641) dated November 11, 2022. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** –The appellant, vide his application dated October 19, 2022, sought the following information:
 1. *Copy of all documents, letters, emails, any other evidences submitted by rta link in time (concerned entity), as well as by the complainant in respect of complaint no SEBIE/DH22/000.....5/1 which were considered while disposing off the complaint as well as review may be furnished.*
 2. *Copies of all notings/ remarks by sebi including orders/rulings given by sebi in the review as well as complaint may be provided.*
 3. *Copy of the action taken reports submitted by link in time (concerned entity) may be provided?*
3. The respondent, in response to the aforesaid queries, informed that the documents and Actions Taken Report (ATR) as requested by the appellant in disposing off complaint no. SEBIE/DH22/000....5/1 have been provided in SCORES portal at the time of closing the complaint.
4. **Ground of appeal-** The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that the information provided was incomplete, misleading or false. The appellant, in his appeal, *inter alia*, submitted that request was for

providing copy of review action history as the same was appearing as blank page on the SCORES Portal. The appellant also submitted that no response was provided with respect to query numbers 1 and 2.

5. On perusal of the queries, I find that the appellant has sought information with respect to his complaint registered on SCORES portal. I note that the respondent has clearly informed regarding the availability of the relevant information on the SCORES Portal. I note that all the complaints which are lodged in the SCORES system and the related correspondence along with date-wise progress of the complaint can be accessed online on SCORES website under the tab “View Complaint Status” by providing the complaint registration number and password, which is allotted at the time of registering the complaint. Further, I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the queries by guiding the appellant to access the relevant information available on the SCORES Portal. Therefore, I find no deficiency in the response provided by the respondent.
6. Further, I note that the appellant, in his appeal, requested to provide the copy of the review action history. I find that this query was raised for the first time in this appeal. As held by the Hon’ble CIC in *Harish Prasad Divedi vs. Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited* (decided on January 28, 2014), an information seeker cannot be allowed to expand the scope of his RTI enquiry at appeal stage. Accordingly, I find that the said request does not warrant consideration at this stage.
7. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: December 12, 2022

A SUNIL KUMAR
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA