

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**

Appeal No. 5642 of 2023

Harbans Singh Sahni	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated March 08, 2023 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated March 29, 2023, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/23/00072) dated March 31, 2023. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the applications**– The appellant, vide his application, sought the following information pertaining to the complaint Ref. No. SEBIE/DH23/00.....7/1-

“1. Kindly provide certified copy of order passed By Sebi Dated 15 Feb 2023 with reference to Karvy Computer Share private formerly known as Kfintechologies pvt ltd which stated by sebi to Submit only Final ATR Report by 27 Feb 2023 to the Complainant with complete details and Communication wherever applicable and not any intermediary Report”

3. The respondent, in response to the aforesaid application, informed that no such order dated February 15, 2023 exists with respect to Karvy Computer Share Private Limited. The respondent also observed that the appellant’s matter was heard by the Hon’ble CIC ten times and orders were passed on various dates during the period from June 04, 2020 to November 10, 2022. The respondent also referred to the remarks made by the Hon’ble CIC while dismissing few of the second appeals filed by the appellant. In the said orders passed by the Hon’ble CIC, the appellant was advised to approach the appropriate forum to redress his grievances. Accordingly, the respondent advised the appellant to restrain from filing repeated RTI applications with respect to similar issues on the subject matter in future and approach the appropriate forum to redress his grievance.
4. **Grounds of appeal**- The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that the information provided was incomplete, misleading or false. The appellant, in his appeal, *inter alia*, submitted that SEBI has passed

order against Karvy Computer Share Pvt. Ltd. (formerly known as Kfintechologies Pvt. Ltd.), to submit the final report to the complainant with full details. The appellant also submitted that further remarks are also treated as order passed by SEBI.

5. I have considered the application and the response. I note that the respondent has clearly informed that no order dated February 15, 2023 pertaining to Karvy Computer Share Private Limited, exists in their record. I do not find any reason to disbelieve the response provided by the respondent. Accordingly, I find that the query has been adequately addressed and no further intervention is warranted.
6. Further, I note that the appellant has been advised multiple times to approach the appropriate court of law with respect to his grievances. Further, I note that the Hon'ble CIC, vide a recent order dated November 10, 2022 passed in matter of *Harbans Singh Sabni vs, CPIO, SEBI*, observed that: *"From a perusal of the relevant case records and taking note of the detailed written submissions filed by the CPIO, it is noted that the appellant is in the habit of filing multiple RTI applications and all are meant to seek redressal of his personal grievances related to ownership of some shares. One of such matter was already heard and decided by the same bench on 01.11.2022 vide File No. CIC/SEBIH/A/2021/615241. The CPIO has again brought it to the notice of the Commission that the appellant had filed almost 132 RTI applications from 2018 to 2021, and 79 first appeals. He has also informed that 07 second appeals filed by the same appellant regarding similar issues had already been adjudicated by the Commission wherein the appellant was advised to approach an appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance. The Commission does not find any flaw in the reply given and rather than filing unnecessary RTI applications, the appellant is once again advised to pursue his grievance before the appropriate forum rather than filing similar RTI applications which are devoid of any merit."* In view of these observations, I do not find any deficiency in the response.
7. In view of the above observations, I find no interference is warranted at this stage. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai
Date: April 28, 2023

B RAJENDRAN
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA