

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6224 of 2024

Kartik	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated October 11, 2024 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated October 28, 2024, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/24/00271) dated November 09, 2024. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Request in the application** - The appellant, vide his application dated October 11, 2024, sought the following information:

“In exercise of the Right to Information, I Kartik Abrol, a SEBI grade A aspirant requires the marks secured in my phase 2 examination conducted on 31st of August. As I couldn’t make it to the interview level the institution shall not be reluctant in disclosing the information. Further, detailed examination of the answer sheet along with cut off marks ascertained shall also be disclosed.”
3. **Reply of the Respondent** - The respondent, in response to request in the application, informed that the information regarding Phase II marks and cut off will be made available on SEBI website under career section post, completion of Recruitment exercise for Grade ‘A’ Officer, 2024. The respondent also informed that a copy of the answer key as received from Institute of Banking Personnel Selection (**IBPS**) is enclosed along with his reply.
4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that information provided was incomplete, misleading or false information.

5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. I note that appellant in his appeal has submitted that the full particulars of the information sought was not provided by the respondent. On consideration, I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the said request by providing the information available with him. Accordingly, no further intervention of this forum is warranted.
6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: December 04, 2024

RUCHI CHOJER

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**