

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**  
**(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**

**Appeal No. 4987 of 2022**

|                    |    |            |
|--------------------|----|------------|
| Dipankar Dutta     | :  | Appellant  |
|                    | Vs |            |
| CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai | :  | Respondent |

**ORDER**

1. The appellant had filed an application dated March 22, 2022 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated March 24, 2022 responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated April 10, 2022. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** –The appellant, vide his application dated March 22, 2022, *inter alia*, sought information regarding his policy pertaining to Sahara Q Shop Unique Products Range Limited.
3. The respondent, in response to the application, informed that Sahara Q Shop Unique Range Limited does not fall under the regulatory purview of SEBI. Further, the appellant was advised to approach EOW, Lucknow and ROC, Mumbai for resolution of his grievances.
4. **Ground of appeal-** The appellant has not mentioned any specific ground for filing the appeal. The appellant, in his appeal, reiterated the query raised in his application.
5. On perusal of the application and the response, I note that the respondent has categorically stated that the matter pertaining to Sahara Q Shop Unique Range Limited does not come under the purview of SEBI. The respondent also guided the appellant to approach the Registrar of Companies (RoC) and Economic Offences Wing (EoW), which are the appropriate authorities. I do not find any reason to disbelieve the observation of the respondent. I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the queries by providing the information available with him.

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

**Place: Mumbai**

**Date: May 09, 2022**

**ANAND BAIWAR  
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**