

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 5167 of 2022

Shubham Pitliya	:	Appellant
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated June 17, 2022 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated June 30, 2022 responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated July 03, 2022, against the said response dated June 30, 2022. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** –The appellant, vide his application dated June 17, 2022, sought the following information with respect to Sahara Q Shop Unique Products range Limited:
 1. *How to claim the repayment to SEBI for this company?*
 2. *Does SEBI holds amount of SAHARA India ?*
 3. *How much amount is seized by SEBI for repayment?*
3. The respondent, in response to the aforesaid queries, informed that Sahara Q Shop Unique Products Limited does not fall under the regulatory ambit of SEBI. Further, the appellant was advised to approach the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare for resolution of grievances.
4. **Ground of appeal-** The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that the information provided was incomplete, misleading or false. The appellant, in his appeal, submitted that the response is irrelevant. The appellant also alleged that he was forced to convert his investments into Sahara Q Shop. The appellant also sought the procedure for repaying public’s funds.
5. On perusal of the application and the response, I note that the respondent has categorically stated that the matter pertaining to Sahara Q Shop Unique Range Limited does not come under the purview of

SEBI. The respondent also guided the appellant to approach the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, which is the appropriate authority. I do not find any reason to disbelieve the observation of the respondent. I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the queries by providing the information available with him.

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai
Date: July 27, 2022

ANAND BAIWAR
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA