

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6018 of 2024

	:	Appellant
Ashok		
	Vs	
CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai	:	Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated May 02, 2024 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated May 28, 2024 responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/24/00106) dated May 28, 2024. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.

2. **Requests in the application** - The appellant, vide his application dated May 02, 2024, sought the following information:

*“1. Category wise vacancies of Grade-A (Official language) which is to be notified in June or July 2024.
2. Tentative date of notification”*

3. **Reply of the Respondent** - The respondent, in response to request no 1 of the application, informed that in continuation of the Advance Intimation dated March 14, 2024, SEBI will be issuing a detailed advertisement in due course in connection with the recruitment of Grade A officers, which will include information regarding category wise vacancy. Further, the respondent informed that the aforesaid detailed advertisement will be published in the SEBI website under “Careers” section. Additionally, the respondent informed that, appropriate reservation will be given to candidates belonging to OBC (NCL), EWSs, SC, ST and PwBD candidates as per applicable laws.

In response to the request no. 2 of the application, the respondent informed that the same is in the nature of seeking clarification. Accordingly, the same cannot be construed as “information”, as defined u/s 2 (f) of the RTI Act, 2005.

4. **Ground of appeal-** The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he has been refused access to requested information. On perusal of the appeal, the appellant has raised dissatisfaction only with respect to the reply to request no. 1 of his application.
5. I have perused the aforesaid request and the response provided by the respondent. On consideration, I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the said requests by providing the information available with him. Accordingly, no further intervention of this forum is warranted.
6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: June 6, 2024

B RAJENDRAN

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**