

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No.5845 of 2023

Kumar Satyam : Appellant

Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. An application dated October 17, 2023 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as “**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated November 02, 2023 responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/23/00236) dated November 04, 2023. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Requests in the application** - The appellant, vide his application dated October 17, 2023 sought the following information:

“sir maine sbi mutual fund folio no 25194804 me nominee claim diya tha but mujhe claim nahi diya gaya aur kaha gaya ki death ke baad nominee name change kiya gaya hai to mujhe ye information diya jaye ki death ke baad kaise aur kab nominee name change hua hai with pdf copy kon sa rule ke under mujhe claim nahi diya gaya hai”
3. **Reply of the Respondent** - The respondent, in response to the request, informed that the information sought had already been provided to the appellant vide SEBI letter no. SEBI/IMD/RD/263/2023 dated October 04, 2023, in response to PG Portal Appeal Complaint no. SEBI/E/A/23/0000263 filed by him. The respondent provided the copy of the said letter along with his reply.
4. **Ground of appeal-** The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that information provided was incomplete, misleading or false information. The appellant, in his appeal, has reiterated his request made by him in his application.
5. I have perused the request and the response provided thereto. On consideration, I note that the information sought by the appellant had already been provided to the him, in response to PG Portal Appeal Complaint no. SEBI/E/A/23/0000263 filed by the appellant. Further, I note that the respondent has also provided a

copy of the said response to the appellant. Hence, I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the request as per the information available with him. Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response.

6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: November 22, 2023

B RAJENDRAN

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**