

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6282 of 2025

Archishma : Appellant
Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated December 05, 2024 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated December 23, 2024, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/25/00005) dated January 05, 2025. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that she was provided incomplete, misleading or false information.
3. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in her application dated December 05, 2024, sought the following information:

“ 1) Please furnish the list of companies that have listed on the Innovators Growth Platform from 2019 till date

2) Please provide year wise break up on the quantum of funding that the companies have received as part of being on the platform from 2019

3) Please provide a year-wise list of companies that have switched from IGP to the mainboard from 2019

4) Please share the list of companies that have delisted from the platform apart from switching to mainboard since 2019”

4. **Reply of the Respondent** - The respondent, in response to queries in the application, informed that the information sought by the appellant is not available with SEBI. The respondent also informed that appellant can refer to the website of stock exchanges.
5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. I note that the respondent has categorically stated that the requested information is not available with SEBI. I do not have any reason to disbelieve the response of the respondent. I note that the respondent can only provide information that is available in the records. In this context, I note that the Hon'ble Central Information Commission (hereinafter referred to as "**CIC**") in the matter of *Sh. Pattipati Rama Murthy vs. CPIO, SEBI* (Decision dated July 8, 2013), held: "... if it (SEBI) does not have any such information in its possession, the CPIO cannot obviously invent one for the benefit of the Appellant. There is simply no information to be given." Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, I note that respondent has guided the appellant to refer to website of stock exchanges.
6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: January 29, 2025

RUCHI CHOJER

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**