

BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA
[ADJUDICATION ORDER NO. Order/AS/AK/2022-23/24116]

UNDER SECTION 15-I OF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA ACT, 1992 READ WITH RULE 5 OF SEBI (PROCEDURE FOR HOLDING INQUIRY AND IMPOSING PENALTIES) RULES, 1995.

In respect of –

1. **Late Prabha Adarsh (PAN: AAKPA8314M)** having address at Flat No.196, Antariksh Apartment, Sector 14, Rohini, New Delhi-110085

In the matter of Illiquid Stock Options at BSE.

BACKGROUND

1. Securities and Exchange Board of India (hereinafter referred to as '**SEBI**') had conducted an investigation into large scale reversal of trades in Stock Options segment of the Bombay Stock Exchange (hereinafter referred to as '**BSE**') during the period of April 01, 2014 to September 30, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as '**investigation period**').
2. Pursuant to investigation, it was observed that during the investigation period, there were trades executed in BSE Stock Options Segment involving reversal of buy and sell positions by clients and counterparties in the same contract. The reversal trades involved squaring off trades (either buy or sell), with significant difference in the price. Late Prabha Adarsh (hereinafter referred to as '**Noticee**') was one among the entities who indulged in reversal trades, which allegedly created false and misleading appearance of trading thereby generated artificial volumes in the Stock Options Segment of BSE during the investigation period. The trades entered in the unique contracts were reversed on the same day with same counterparties, at a price difference which had no underlying basis and without an intention of performing it or there being a change of ownership / rights in the contracts. These factors indicated that these trades were allegedly artificial and non- genuine in nature.

Adjudication Order in respect of Late Prabha Adarsh in the matter of Illiquid Stock Options at BSE.

APPOINTMENT OF ADJUDICATING OFFICER

3. In this connection, SEBI had initiated adjudication proceedings against Noticee, under SEBI (Procedure for Holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties) Rules, 1995 (hereinafter referred to as '**Adjudication Rules**') for allegedly violating Regulations 3(a),(b),(c),(d) and 4(1), 4(2)(a) of SEBI (Prohibition of Fraudulent and Unfair Trading Practices relating to Securities Markets) Regulations, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as '**PFUTP Regulations**'), in the matter of trading in illiquid stock options at BSE. Vide *communiqué* dated September 30, 2021, Mr. Prasanta Mahapatra, Chief General Manager, was appointed as Adjudicating Officer under Section 15-I of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (hereinafter referred to as '**SEBI Act**') to inquire into and adjudge the alleged violations by the Noticee and determine whether penalty under Section 15HA of the SEBI Act is liable to be imposed. Pursuant to transfer of Mr. Prasanta Mahapatra, to other department, vide *communiqué* dated July 27, 2022, undersigned was appointed as Adjudicating Officer in the instant matter.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE, REPLY AND HEARING

4. Show Cause Notice No. EAD-8/AS/AK/40911/1/2022 dated August 08, 2022 (hereinafter be referred to as, the "**SCN**") was served upon the Noticee under Rule 4 of the SEBI (Procedure for holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties) Rules, 1995 (hereinafter referred to as '**Adjudication Rules**') read with section 15I of the SEBI Act, to show cause as to why an inquiry should not be held and penalty be not imposed against her under Section 15HA of the SEBI Act for the alleged violations of Regulations 3(a), (b), (c), (d) and 4(1), (2)(a) of the PFUTP Regulations.
5. The undersigned was contacted over phone by Mr. Rakesh Aggarwal, the authorized representative and son of the Noticee and informed that the Noticee had passed away on December 15, 2018. Further, Mr. Rakesh Aggarwal, vide email dated February 25, 2023 provided notarised attested copy of Death Certificate dated January 20, 2019 to this effect. The death certificate was verified from the website of North Delhi Municipal Corporation Website.

6. Before proceeding further in the matter on merit, it would be in the fitness of things to first decide as to whether on the death of the Noticee, the present adjudication proceedings against her would continue or abate.
7. In this context, it is worth mentioning that in **Girijanandini Vs Bijendra Narain** (AIR 1967 SC 2110), the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that in case of personal actions, i.e., the actions where the relief sought is personal to the deceased, the right to sue will not survive to or against the representatives and in such cases the maxim *actio personalis moritur cum persona* (personal action dies with the death of the person) would apply. It is also relevant to refer to the decision of Hon'ble Securities Appellate Tribunal in **Chandravadan J. Dalal vs. SEBI** (Appeal No. 35/2004 decided on June 15, 2005) wherein it was held that: *"The appeal abates since the appellant during the pendency of the appeal died on 29th November 2004. The appeal accordingly abates. The penalty imposed on the original appellant being personal in nature also abates."*
8. In view of the foregoing, I am of the view that the instant adjudication proceedings against the Noticee are liable to be abated without going into the merits of the case qua her and the SCN dated August 08, 2022 issued against her is disposed of accordingly.
9. In terms of the provisions of Rule 6 of the Adjudication Rules, a copy of this order is being sent to SEBI and to the last known address of deceased Noticee as well as to Mr. Rakesh Aggarwal, the authorized representative of Mrs. Prabha Adarash, the deceased Noticee.

DATE: February 27, 2023

PLACE: MUMBAI

ASHA SHETTY

ADJUDICATING OFFICER