

**BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**

ADJUDICATION ORDER NO.: Order/DK/VP/2022-23/24209

UNDER SECTION 15-I OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA ACT, 1992 READ WITH RULE 5 OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA (PROCEDURE FOR HOLDING INQUIRY AND IMPOSING PENALTIES) RULES, 1995

In respect of:

**Late Satya Narain Bhartia
(PAN: ADTPB8356L)**

**12, GOVERNMENT PLACE EAST, 3RD FLOOR,
KOLKATA WEST BENGAL - 700069**

In the matter of dealings in Illiquid Stock Options at BSE Ltd.

BACKGROUND

1. Securities and Exchange Board of India (hereinafter referred to as "SEBI") observed large scale reversal of trades in the Stock Options segment of the Bombay Stock Exchange (hereinafter referred to as "BSE") leading to the alleged creation of artificial volume in the stock options segment. In this regard, SEBI conducted an investigation into the trading activity in the illiquid Stock Options segment at the BSE for the period April 01, 2014 to September 30, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as "Investigation Period").
2. It was observed during the course of investigation that a total of 2,91,744 trades comprising 81.40% of all the trades executed in the Stock Options Segment at the BSE during the investigation period were trades which involved reversal of buy and sell positions by the clients and counterparties in a contract on the same day. It was observed that Late Satya Narain Bhartia (hereinafter referred to as "Noticee") was one such client whose reversal trades involved squaring-off open positions with a significant difference without any basis for such change in the contract price. The aforesaid reversal trades allegedly resulted into generation of artificial volumes, leading to allegations that the Noticee had violated the provisions of regulations 3(a),

(b), (c) and (d) and regulations 4(1) and 4(2)(a) of the SEBI (Prohibition of Fraudulent and Unfair Trading Practices related to Securities Markets) Regulations, 2003 (hereinafter referred to as "PFUTP Regulations, 2003").

APPOINTMENT OF ADJUDICATING OFFICER

3. SEBI initiated Adjudication Proceedings and appointed the undersigned as Adjudicating Officer under section 15-I of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (hereinafter referred to as "SEBI Act") read with rule 3 of the SEBI (Procedure for Holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties) Rules, 1995 (hereinafter referred to as "SEBI Rules") *vide* order dated July 6, 2021 to inquire into and adjudge under section 15HA of the SEBI Act against the Noticee for the alleged violation of the aforesaid provisions of PFUTP Regulations, 2003.

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

4. A Show Cause Notice bearing reference no. SEBI/HO/IVD/ID4/P/OW/2021/40408/1 dated December 30, 2021 (hereinafter referred to as "SCN") was issued to the Noticee under Rule 4(1) of the SEBI Rules to show cause as to why an inquiry should not be initiated against the Noticee and why penalty should not be imposed upon the Noticee under Section 15HA of the SEBI Act for alleged violations of regulation 3(a), (b), (c) and (d) and regulations 4(1) and 4(2) (a) of PFUTP Regulations, 2003. Further, a letter bearing no. SEBI/HO/IVD/ID4/P/OW/2022/41312/1 dated August 11, 2022 was issued to Noticee informing about the SEBI Settlement Scheme, 2022, in terms of regulation 26 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Settlement Proceedings) Regulations, 2018 in the matter of Illiquid Stock Options. The Noticee was advised to file a reply to the SCN within 30 days of the receipt of the SCN in case the Noticee does not wish to avail of the facility under the SEBI Settlement Scheme, 2022.
5. The undersigned was informed *vide* e-mail dated November 09, 2022 from Mr. Navin Bhartia (son of the Noticee), that the Noticee had passed away on June 04, 2015. A copy of the death certificate dated July 25, 2019 issued by the Executive Health Officer, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of West Bengal, has been provided *vide* e-mail dated November 09, 2022. The same has also been

verified from the website of the Kolkata Municipal Corporation. It is observed that the Noticee expired before initiation of the present Adjudication Proceedings against him.

6. In this regard, it is relevant to note that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Girijandini vs. Bijendra Narain (AIR 1967 SC 1124)*, *inter alia*, observed that in case of personal action, i.e. the actions where the relief sought is personal to the deceased, the right to sue will not survive to or against the representatives, and in such cases, the maxim "*actio personalis moritur cum persona*" (personal action dies with the death of the person) would apply. It is also relevant to refer to the decision of Hon'ble Securities Appellate Tribunal in *Chandravadan J. Dalal vs. SEBI (Appeal No. 35/2004 decided on June 15, 2005)* wherein it was held that "...The appeal abates since the appellant during the pendency of the appeal died on 29th November 2004. The appeal accordingly abates. The penalty imposed on the original appellant being personal in nature also abates...".
7. Relying on the aforementioned Order(s) of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble Securities Appellate Tribunal, I am of the view that the Adjudication Proceedings initiated against the Noticee do not survive and are liable to be abated without going into the merits of the case. Consequently, no penalty is imposed on the Noticee who is since deceased and the Adjudication Proceedings against the Noticee stand abated.

ORDER

8. After taking into consideration all the facts and circumstances of the case, the Adjudication Proceedings initiated *vide* SCN bearing reference no. SEBI/HO/IVD/ID4/P/OW/2021/40408/1 dated December 30, 2021, against the Noticee viz. Late Satya Narain Bhartia (PAN: ADTPB8356L), is disposed of.
9. In terms of Rule 6 of the Adjudication Rules, a copy of this order is sent at the address of the Noticee and also to SEBI.

Date: February 28, 2023

Place: Mumbai

Divya Kulshrestha

Adjudicating Officer