

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6476 of 2025

Ankit Sharma : Appellant
Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated June 25, 2025 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated July 04, 2025, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/25/00171) dated July 05, 2025. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in his application dated June 25, 2025, sought the following information:

“1. What is the current status of my SCORES complaint ID: SEBIE/MP25/JABA/019119/1?

2. Which officer or department is handling my secondlevel review?

3. What action has been taken by SEBI on my review so far?

4. What is the expected timeline for final resolution?

5. Has SEBI issued any instructions or sought explanation from the concerned broker based on my complaint?”
3. **Reply of the Respondent** –The respondent, in response to the application, informed that the information sought is already available in the action taken report in SCORES 2.0 portal. The respondent has also enclosed a copy of the said report with his reply.

4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was provided incomplete, misleading or false information. The appeal, in his appeal, has, *inter alia*, contended that there was no mention of expected timeline for the final resolution of his complaint in the reply of respondent.
5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. On consideration, I note that the respondent has clearly informed that the requested information can be accessed by the appellant from the action taken report on SCORES portal. Therefore, I find that the respondent has adequately addressed the queries in the application. Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.
6. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: July 29, 2025

RUCHI CHOJER

**APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**